

# Inference on Predicted Data: Examples from Verbal Autopsies and BMI

---

**Adam Visokay**

**Fred Hutchinson Deep Learning Affinity Group**  
**November 5, 2024**



# Our Team!

---



Adam Visokay



Trinity Fan



Kentaro Hoffman



Stephen Salerno



Sasha Johfre



Jeff Leek



Li Liu



Tyler McCormick

# Overview

---

Adam Visokay

Department of Sociology

University of Washington PhD Student

Max Planck Institute for Population Research Affiliated Student

Roadmap for today

1. Estimation versus Prediction
2. Inference on Predicted Data (IPD)
3. Verbal Autopsy Narratives
4. BMI as Prediction Algorithm
5. Q&A



# Estimation versus Prediction

---



## Estimation versus Prediction

---

**Estimation:**  $y = \beta X_{\text{train}} \rightarrow \hat{\beta}$

**Prediction:**  $\hat{\beta} X_{\text{test}} = \hat{y}$

## Inference on Predicted Data (IPD)

**Estimation:**  $y = \beta X_{\text{train}} \rightarrow \hat{\beta}$

**Prediction:**  $\hat{\beta} X_{\text{test}} = \hat{y}$

**IPD:**  $\hat{y}_{\text{AI}} = \theta X_{\text{train}} \rightarrow \hat{\theta}_{\text{AI}}$

# Estimation versus Prediction

---

What's the association between education (X) and income (y)?  $\rightarrow \hat{\beta}$

Predict income (y) given education (X)  $= \hat{y}$



## Inference on Predicted Data (IPD)

---

What's the association between education (X) and income (y)?  $\rightarrow \hat{\beta}$

Predict income (y) given education (X)  $= \hat{y}$

What's the association between education (X) and **predicted** income ( $y_{ai}$ )?  $\rightarrow \hat{\theta}_{AI}$






# Predicted Data Is Often Practical

\$

\$\$\$\$

$$f(\text{Data You Can Get}) = (\text{Data You Want})$$




# Predicted Data Is Often Practical


\$

\$\$\$\$

$$f(\text{Data You Can Get}) = (\text{Data You Want})\text{-ish}$$




## But IPD leads to Invalid Uncertainty *and* Potential Bias




# IPD Correction Procedure

---



# IPD Correction Procedure



Step 1	$\hat{\theta}^{AI} : AI_U \sim X_U$
Step 2	$\theta^{True} : Y_L \sim X_L$
Step 3	$\hat{\Delta} : (Y_L - AI_L) \sim X_L$
Step 4	$\hat{\theta}^{corrected} = \hat{\theta}^{AI} + \hat{\Delta}$



# Verbal Autopsy (VA)

---



# Verbal Autopsy (VA)

Fewer than one-third of deaths worldwide assigned medically certified cause (Horton, 2007)





Figure: Source: Setel et al. 2007. Association between estimated coverage of civil registration and gross national income per head, 1998-2004.



# Verbal Autopsy (VA)

Interviews with caregivers of the deceased.

structured questionnaire



free text narrative

UNPROCESSED VA TEXT NARRATIVE
Deceased started to ill while at working place, He came home while experiencing cough with chest pain, difficult in breathing, tiredness and blood vision. The after visited Belfast clinic to get treatment but no improvement. Afterwards deceased complained of stomach pain. Then after experienced diarrhea. He was given traditional medicine but did not change. Afterwards he vomiting worms and diarrhea continued. He continued using traditional medicine and the condition remains the same. Three days before death deceased sneezed a thing like a worm. He died at home and he also experienced hot body. It was examined that his chest and throat developed wounds. Treatment given but no change. His lower lip also had rash that at time chapping and a lot of blood will comes out. After treatment that lip became healed He was taken to traditional healer, but condition unchanged. He was taken Tintswalo hospital, where he was admitted Oxygen supplier was given but he finally passed away on the third day at hospital. A week before death he complained about body pain. At the beginning deceased also had cough and complained of headache during the night only throughout the illness. A month before death he experienced hiccup which continued until death but recurrent, he skips days not defecating When defecate the stool were hard then after yellowish and black few days before death. Deceased also developed ring worms on both checks but healed before death
PROCESSED VA TEXT NARRATIVE
['cough', 'cough', 'chest', 'pain', 'tiredness', 'blood', 'vision', 'stomach', 'pain', 'vomit', 'worms', 'diarrhea', 'sneezed', 'worm', 'hot', 'chest', 'throat', 'lip', 'rash', 'chapping', 'blood', 'lip', 'pain', 'cough', 'headache', 'hiccup', 'defecating', 'defecate', 'stool', 'yellowish', 'ring', 'worms']

Mapundu et al. 2024

Interviews are burdensome on respondents (~2hr, repetitive, impersonal).






# Structured Questionnaire

Death occurs and is reported by community informant

↓  
Enumerator conducts VA interview



Make predictions



↓  
Reports/ papers/ articles/ decisions

Make inferences with those predictions

W

# Text Narratives and Language Modeling

## Research Questions:

1. What if we use only the text narratives of the VA?

UNPROCESSED VA TEXT NARRATIVE
Deceased started to ill while at working place, He came home while experiencing cough with chest pain, difficult in breathing, tiredness and blood vision. The after visited Belfast clinic to get treatment but no improvement. Afterwards deceased complained of stomach pain. Then after experienced diarrhea. He was given traditional medicine but did not change. Afterwards he vomiting worms and diarrhea continued. He continued using traditional medicine and the condition remains the same. Three days before death deceased sneezed a thing like a worm. He died at home and he also experienced hot body. It was examined that his chest and throat developed wounds. Treatment given but no change. His lower lip also had rash that at time chapping and a lot of blood will comes out. After treatment that lip became healed He was taken to traditional healer, but condition unchanged. He was taken Tintswalo hospital, where he was admitted Oxygen supplier was given but he finally passed away on the third day at hospital. A week before death he complained about body pain. At the beginning deceased also had cough and complained of headache during the night only throughout the illness. A month before death he experienced hiccup which continued until death but recurrent, he skips days not defecating When defecate the stool were hard then after yellowish and black few days before death. Deceased also developed ring worms on both checks but healed before death
PROCESSED VA TEXT NARRATIVE
['cough', 'cough', 'chest', 'pain', 'tiredness', 'blood', 'vision', 'stomach', 'pain', 'vomit', 'worms', 'diarrhea', 'sneezed', 'worm', 'hot', 'chest', 'throat', 'lip', 'rash', 'chapping', 'blood', 'lip', 'pain', 'cough', 'headache', 'hiccup', 'defecating', 'defecate', 'stool', 'yellowish', 'ring', 'worms']

Mapundu et al. 2024

2. Does IPD correction change our conclusions?





IHME | GHDx

[Home](#)

[Countries](#)

[Series and Systems](#)

[Organizations](#)

[Keywords](#)

[IHME Data](#)

[About the GHDx](#)

[Home](#) > [Survey](#)


Population Health Metrics Research Consortium Gold Standard Verbal Autopsy  
Data 2005-2011

- adult deaths (n=6763)
- both traditional **and** verbal autopsies
- 6 sites, 4 countries
- 5 COD - [*Communicable, Non-communicable, Maternal, AIDS-TB, External*]

Validation set allows us to evaluate our experiment!



# Leave-One-Out Prediction



# LLM Prompt

<narrative>  
**INPUT**  
</narrative>

Each narrative gets plugged in here

<labels>  
aids-tb: Patient died resulting from HIV-AIDs or Tuberculosis.  
communicable: Patient died from a communicable disease such as pneumonia, diarrhea or dysentery.  
external: Patient died from external causes such as fires, drowning, road traffic, falls, poisonous animals, suicide, homicide, or other injuries.  
maternal: Patient died from pregnancy or childbirth including from severe bleeding, sepsis, pre-eclampsia and eclampsia.  
non-communicable: Patient died from a non-communicable disease such as cirrhosis, epilepsy, acute myocardial infarction, copd, renal failure, cancer, diabetes, stroke, malaria, asthma.  
unclassified: narrative does not contain enough information to predict cause of death.  
</labels>

Context

<options>  
aids-tb,  
communicable,  
external,  
maternal,  
non-communicable,  
unclassified  
</options>


Explicitly require output in this format

Which label from options best applies applies to the narrative?  
If you are not sure, return your best guess.  
Limit your response to one of the options exactly as it appears in the list.

Instructions



# Prediction Accuracy




## Closer Look at GPT-4 Predictions

narrative	gs_cod	prediction
respondent thanked for being visited	aids-tb	The narrative does not provide enough information to determine a cause of death.
client had no additional point	non-communicable	The narrative does not provide enough information to determine the appropriate label.
the client thanked for service which provided in the hospital_x000d_x000d_\nthe client transfer death certificate to their original home [place]	non-communicable	The narrative does not provide enough information to determine the cause of death.
the client thanked for the service	communicable	The narrative does not provide information related to any of the labels.
no comment	communicable	The narrative does not provide enough information to determine the cause of death.

- GPT-4 fails to classify 1503 of the 6763 cases. These 1503 text narratives contain no useful information.




# GPT-4 Actually Makes Good Predictions!





# IPD on Predicted COD



**How does Age (X) vary with Cause of Death (y)?**

---



# How does Age (X) vary with Cause of Death (y)?

---

multinomial logistic regression:


$$\log\left(\frac{p_{COD_i}}{p_{COD_{reference}}}\right) = \theta_0 + X_{age} * \theta_i$$

for  $\theta \in \{1, \dots, 4\}$

- $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4$  are the multinomial regression coefficients when we regress  $COD \sim Age$ .
- With AIDS-TB as the left out reference category we have:
  - $\theta_1$ : For every one-unit increase in Age(yr), the log-odds of P(Y=**communicable**) (compared to AIDS-TB) are expected to increase by  $\theta_1$ .
  - $\theta_2$ : P(Y=**external**) are expected to increase by  $\theta_2$ .
  - $\theta_3$ : P(Y=**maternal**) are expected to increase by  $\theta_3$ .
  - $\theta_4$ : P(Y=**non-communicable**) are expected to increase by  $\theta_4$ .




## Uttar Pradesh NB



Conclusions  
change  
dramatically!!!



## mexico bert




Conclusions  
change  
dramatically!!!

This time with  
BERT.




### dar KNN



One more  
example with  
classical NLP



### up gpt4\_zs



Not as dramatic, but naive estimates still exhibit substantial bias.



# Switching Gears

---





# Obesity

---

Despite obesity's designation as a disease, it lacks a biologically specific definition (Kraemer, Berkowitz, and Hammer 1990).



# Obesity

---

Despite obesity's designation as a disease, it lacks a biologically specific definition (Kraemer, Berkowitz, and Hammer 1990).

Can be measured a number of ways:

1. Total percentage body fat (DXA scan)
2. Body Mass Index (BMI)
3. Waist circumference ratio



# Obesity

---

Despite obesity's designation as a disease, it lacks a biologically specific definition (Kraemer, Berkowitz, and Hammer 1990).

Can be operationalized a number of ways:

1. Total percentage body fat (DXA scan)
2. Body Mass Index (BMI)
3. Waist circumference ratio

**Claim: The BMI is a Prediction Algorithm**




# BMI as Prediction Algorithm

---

$$\text{BMI} = \text{weight (kg)} / \text{height (m)}^2$$

“Healthy Weight”




<https://nutriactiva.com/blogs/bmi>



# BMI as Prediction Algorithm (noisy)


$$\text{BMI} = \text{weight (kg)} / \text{height (m)}^2$$

“Healthy Weight”



47% of patients had a fat percentage that did not correspond to their BMI classification (Monasor-Ortolá et al. 2021)


# NHANES 2017



47% of patients had a fat percentage that did not correspond to their BMI classification (Monasor-Ortolá et al. 2021)



# IPD Correction Procedure BMI -> DXA



# Limitations

---

## Verbal Autopsy

- Multilingual translations are not lossless.
- 5 Cause of Death categories are too broad.
- Even “ground truth” traditional autopsies can be biased.

## BMI

- Healthy weight as a concept is contested.
- No obvious “ground truth” measure of obesity.





# Conclusions

---

1. IPD calibrates statistical inference when using predicted outcomes.
2. Text narratives can be used in place of the structured VA questionnaire.
3. Performing IPD on inference using BMI can lead to different conclusions.



# Thank you!!

---

Contact:

Adam Visokay

[avisokay@uw.edu](mailto:avisokay@uw.edu)

<https://avisokay.github.io/>




IPD software is available!

[Paper](#)

[Github](#)

[CRAN](#)



# COLM



[Full Paper Here](#)

